Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):

| 2. Project Name: Yellow Creek Headwaters | 3. County: Douglas | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 4. Project Sponsor: BLM Pete Howe | 5. Date: 06/11/01 | | |
| 6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541-440-4930 | | | |
| 7. Sponsors E-mail: | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | |
| 8. Project Location (attach project area map) | | | |
| a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known | n): | | |
| b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if know. | n): Yellow Creek | | |
| c. Legal Location: Township <u>23S</u> Range <u>06W</u> Se | ection(s) 23 (See map for more details) | | |
| Township Range Sect | ion(s) | | |
| Township Range Sect | ion(s) | | |
| Township Range Section(s) | | | |
| Description: SE1/4NW1/4 | | | |
| d. BLM District Roseburg | e. BLM Resource Area Swiftwater | | |
| f. National Forest g. Forest Service District | | | |
| h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ☐ Yes | ■ No | | |
| | | | |
| 9 Statement of Project Coals and Objective | 100 . | | |

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Repair Road No. 23-6-23.0 to reduce sedimentation and restore water quality. Replace existing failed culverts and restore approximately .75 miles of stream habitat for Coho and other fish specie. Repairing road and culverts enables Lone Rock Timber Company to harvest approximately 80 acres which access to has been blocked.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Repairs 3 areas where road is failing, replaces one Major Culvert which has failed and one minor culvert that is failing.

Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee

| 11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands? | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| ■ Yes □ No If yes, then describe | | | | |
| Lone Rock Timber intends to do the harvesting of salvageable timber as soon as the road is reopened. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 12. How does proposed project meet purposes | of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)] | | | |
| ■ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [See | c. 2(b)] | | | |
| ☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance fore | est ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | |
| ■ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | |
| ■ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)] | | | | |
| ■ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | ☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | | | |
| ☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | ☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | | | |
| ☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b) | (2)(A)] | | | |
| ☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | ☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] | | | |
| ☐ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | | | |
| ■ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] | | | |
| ☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | | | | |
| ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expe | ected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)] | | | |
| a. Total Acres: | b. Total Miles: Restores 1.63 miles of road, 2 culverts, | | | |
| | and .75 miles of fisheries habitat. | | | |
| c. No. Structures: | d. Est. People Reached (for environmental education | | | |
| e. No. Laborer Days: | projects): | | | |
| f Other (specify): | | | | |

- 15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)] 06/01 01/02: Planning, 07/02-09/02: Implementation.
- 16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable) Coho Salmon

Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Lone Rock Timber Company will be able to manage lands that the road accesses, and hunting and other recreational use would be restored.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities. Project will improve water quality and restore Coho Salmon spawning and rearing ground. The project will also benefit the local community by providing jobs since local contractors will be used for the construction work.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

The project will reduce nonpoint source pollution and help enable BLM to meet Oregon State water quality standards as required by federal law. A secondary benefit is vehicular access for both BLM and Lone Rock Timber Company for land management activities.

| 20. Status of Project Planning | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| a. NEPA Complete: | □Yes | ■ No | |
| If no, give est. date of completion: | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | □Yes | ■ No | |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | □Yes | ■ No | |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | □Yes | □No | ■ Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained: | □Yes | ■ No | ☐ Not Applicable |
| g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | □Yes | ■ No | ☐ Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | □Yes | □No | ■ Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | □Yes | ■ No | |
| * DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fiss State Historic Preservation Officer | n and Wildlife, COE | = Army Corps | of Engineers, SHPO = |
| 21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment | | | |
| ■ Contract | ntract ■ Federal Workforce | | |
| ☐ County Workforce | County Workforce | | |
| ☐ Other (specify): | | | |
| | | | |

| 22. | Will the | e Project | Generate | Merchanta | ble Mate | erials? | [Sec. | 204(e) | (3) |
|-----|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|--------|-----|
|-----|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|--------|-----|

■ Yes □ No

Repairing road will allow Lone Rock Timber Company to access their lands to conduct salvage logging as soon as they have access restored.

Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee

| 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$341,720.00 | | | |
| b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ■ No | If yes, then display by fiscal year | | |
| c. FY02 Request: \$341,720.00 | f. FY05 Request: | | |
| d. FY03 Request: | g. FY06 Request: | | |
| e. FY04 Request: | | | |

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

| Item | Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Column D Total Available Funds |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys | | \$4,400.00 | | |
| 25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation | | \$1,250.00 | | |
| 26. Permit Acquisition | | \$20.00 | | |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering | | \$10,520.00 | | |
| 28. Contract Preparation | | \$4,250.00 | | |
| 29. Contract Administration | | \$10,280.00 | | |
| 30. Contract Cost | | \$310,000.00 | | |
| 31. Workforce Cost | | | | |
| 32. Materials & Supplies | | | | |
| 33. Monitoring | | \$1,000.00 | | |
| 34. Other | | | | |
| 35. Project Sub-Total | | | | |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects) | | | | |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate | | \$341,720.00 | | |

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

1. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Options:

ODFW-Habitat survey (long reaches) by request.

BLM - Adult survey after installation.

Channel cross sections by BLM Engineers, Fish Biologists, and Hydrologist 1 year after installation.

2. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Project implementation will be completely accomplished via contracts with local companies. No further evaluation is planned.

3. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The project will enable Lone Rock Timber Company to harvest their land. BLM has no plans to monitor the harvest.

4. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):

Monitoring cost will be negligible, estimated to be a one time cost of \$1,000.00 for BLM employee labor.

Title II Project Application Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee